

# TOWARDS ANKENY–ARTIN–CHOWLA TYPE CONGRUENCE MODULO $p^3$

FRANTIŠEK MARKO

**Abstract.** We formulate and generalize the technique of Jakubec established to derive congruences of Ankeny–Artin–Chowla type for a cyclic subfield  $K$  of prime conductor  $p$ . Then we concentrate on the case of congruences modulo  $p^3$  and clear a significant technical hurdle which allows us to formulate Ankeny–Artin–Chowla congruences modulo  $p^3$  in a concise way.

## Introduction

In a series of papers [J1], [J2], [J3], [J4], [J5], [J6], [JL], Stanislav Jakubec has developed a technique that enabled him to establish congruences of Ankeny–Artin–Chowla type modulo  $p$  and  $p^2$  for cyclic fields  $K$  of prime degree  $l$  and of prime conductor  $p$ . At the beginning of this paper we recall and generalize his results and formulate Jakubec’s technique in general.

In order to apply Jakubec’s technique in the modulo  $p^3$  case, we analyze properties of a map  $\Phi$  and in Theorem 1.2 we formulate results in a form that does not use the map  $\Phi$ . We conjecture that a result analogous to Theorem 1.2 is valid in general.

Finally, Theorem 1.3 gives a simplified formulation of congruences of Ankeny–Artin–Chowla type modulo  $p^3$ .

## 1. The technique of Jakubec

Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $\zeta_p = \cos \frac{2\pi}{p} + i \sin \frac{2\pi}{p}$  be a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity and

---

*Received:* 23. 03. 2006. *Revised:* 21. 06. 2006.

(2000) Mathematics Subject Classification: 11R29.

*Key words and phrases:* Ankeny–Artin–Chowla congruence, class number, divisibility, units.

$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  be the  $p$ -th cyclotomic field. We will consider various subfields  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  of degrees  $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$  dividing  $p - 1$ . Put  $k = \frac{p-1}{n}$  and denote by  $\beta_K = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/K}(\zeta_p)$  the Gauss period of the field  $K$ .

Fix a positive integer  $t$  and choose an integer  $a$  that is a primitive root modulo  $p^t$ . Then the automorphism  $\sigma$ , defined by  $\sigma(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^a$ , generates the Galois group  $G(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$ . Further, denote  $g = a^{p^{t-1}}$  and  $g_n = g^k$  so that  $g_n^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p^t}$  for each  $n$  dividing  $p - 1$ .

The prime  $p$  is totally ramified in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  and factors as  $p = \mathfrak{p}^{p-1}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p} = (1 - \zeta_p)$ . Thus  $p$  is totally ramified in each subfield  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  and  $p = \mathfrak{p}_K^n$  for a unique divisor  $\mathfrak{p}_K$  of  $K$ .

### 1.1. Generators $\pi_K$

A special choice of a generator of divisor  $\mathfrak{p}_K$  plays an important role in the works of Jakubec. Since his notation is ambiguous, we provide more details about these generators.

LEMMA 1.1. *For any natural number  $t$  and any subfield  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  there is an element  $\pi_{K,t}$  satisfying*

- i)  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\pi_{K,t}) = (-1)^n p$ ,
- ii)  $\sigma(\pi_{K,t}) \equiv g_n \pi_{K,t} \pmod{\pi_{K,t}^{tn+1}}$ ,
- iii)  $\beta_K \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{k}{(ki)!} \pi_{K,t}^{i+1} \pmod{\pi_{K,t}^{n+1}}$ .

Moreover, the numbers  $\pi_{K,t}$  can be chosen in such a way that if  $K_1 \subset K_2$  are subfields of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  of degrees  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  respectively, then

$$\pi_{K_1,t} \equiv \pi_{K_2,t}^{\frac{n_2}{n_1}} \pmod{\pi_{K_2,t}^{tn_2+1}}.$$

PROOF. The existence of a number  $\pi_{K,1}$  satisfying (i)–(iii) is the statement of Theorem of [J1]. For a general  $t$ , choose a number  $\pi \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  as on p. 106 of [J2] that satisfies  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(\pi) = p$ ,  $\sigma(\pi) \equiv g\pi \pmod{\pi^{t(p-1)+1}}$  and  $\zeta_p \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i!} \pi^i \pmod{\pi^p}$ .

Put  $\pi_{K,t} = (-1)^{k+1} N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/K}(\pi) = (-1)^{k+1} \pi \sigma^n(\pi) \dots \sigma^{(k-1)n}(\pi)$ . Conditions (i) and (ii) for  $\pi_{K,t}$  follow immediately from the corresponding conditions for  $\pi$ . Taking the trace we obtain

$$\beta_K = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/K}(\zeta_p) \equiv \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/K} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i!} \pi^i \right) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{k}{(ki)!} \pi^{ki} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_K^{n+1}}.$$

This together with the congruence

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{K,t} &= (-1)^{k+1} \pi \sigma^n(\pi) \dots \sigma^{(k-1)n}(\pi) \equiv (-1)^{k+1} \pi(g^n \pi) \dots (g^{(k-1)n} \pi) \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \pi^k g^{n+(k-1)n} \equiv \pi^k \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{t(p-1)+1}} \end{aligned}$$

implies the remaining assertions. □

From now on we fix  $t$  and choose  $\pi_K = \pi_{K,t}$  satisfying the conditions of the preceding Lemma. It follows immediately from the defining properties that  $(\pi_K) = \mathfrak{p}_K$  and

$$(1) \quad \pi_K^n \equiv -p \pmod{\pi_K^{tn+1}}.$$

## 1.2. Polynomials assigned to $\pi_K$ -expansions of units

Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_K$  the ring of integers of  $K$ ,  $U_K$  the multiplicative group of units of  $K$  and by  $\langle \epsilon \rangle$  a subgroup of  $U_K$  generated by all conjugates of  $\epsilon \in U_K$ . To  $\epsilon \in U_K$  one can find integers  $a_0, \dots, a_{tn-1}$  modulo  $p^t$  and  $a_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  such that

$$\epsilon \equiv a_0 + a_1 \pi_K + \dots + a_{tn-1} \pi_K^{tn-1} \pmod{\pi_K^{tn}}$$

and assign to  $\epsilon$  a polynomial  $g(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_{tn-1} X^{tn-1} = a_0 + \dots + a_d X^d$  of degree  $d \leq tn - 1$ . Further, denote by  $a(g(X)) = a_0$  the absolute term of  $g(X)$ .

There are unique ring isomorphisms  $f_K : \mathcal{O}_K/(\pi_K^{tn}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n + p, p^t)$  such that  $f_K(\pi_K) = X$ . The inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/(\pi_{K_1}^{tn_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_2}/(\pi_{K_2}^{tn_2})$  for  $K_1 \subset K_2$  corresponds under these isomorphisms to a ring morphism that sends  $X$  to  $X^{\frac{n_2}{n_1}}$ , and the automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_K/(\pi_K^{tn})$  induced by  $\sigma$  corresponds to a ring morphism that sends  $X$  to  $g_n X$ .

If  $g(X)$  is assigned to  $\epsilon$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f_K(\epsilon) &\equiv (a_0 - pa_n + p^2 a_{2n} - \dots + (-1)^{t-1} p^{t-1} a_{(t-1)n}) \\ &\quad + (a_1 - pa_{n+1} + p^2 a_{2n+1} - \dots + (-1)^{t-1} p^{t-1} a_{(t-1)n+1}) X + \dots \\ &\quad + (a_{n-1} - pa_{2n-1} + p^2 a_{3n-1} - \dots + (-1)^{t-1} p^{t-1} a_{nt-1}) X^{n-1} \pmod{p^t} \end{aligned}$$

is the unique polynomial modulo  $p^t$  of degree less than  $n$  that is assigned to  $\epsilon$ .

For  $f_K(\epsilon) = b_{n-1} + b_{n-2} X + \dots + b_0 X^{n-1}$  denote  $b_K(\epsilon) = (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})$ .

Further, denote by  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$  the roots of the polynomial  $g(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_d X^d$  with  $d \leq tn - 1$  and  $P = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^{tn})$ . Then each

$$s_j(g(X)) = \frac{1}{\lambda_1^j} + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_d^j}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, tn - 1$  defines a semigroup homomorphism  $(P \setminus (X), \cdot) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}, +)$ .

## 1.3. Map $\Phi$

Given a monic polynomial  $g(X) = X^d + Y_1 X^{d-1} + \dots + Y_d$ , put  $Y_0 = 1$  and define  $X_j = S_j(g(X))$  to be the sum of the  $j$ -th powers of the roots of  $g(X)$ .

Assign to a polynomial  $g(X) = X^d + Y_1 X^{d-1} + \dots + Y_d$  of degree  $d \leq tn - 1$  a  $(tn - 1)$ -tuple  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_d, 0, \dots, 0)$  by adding zeroes if  $d < tn - 1$ . Then the  $(tn - 1)$ -tuple  $(X_1, \dots, X_{tn-1})$  satisfies the recurrence relation

$$(2) \quad mY_m + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} X_{m-i} Y_i = 0 \quad \text{for } m = 1, \dots, tn - 1.$$

Conversely, given a set  $(X_1, \dots, X_{tn-1})$  of sums of powers of roots of  $g(X)$  of degree  $d \leq tn - 1$ , the set  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{tn-1})$  of "extended" coefficients of  $g(X)$  is expressed from the equation (2) as

$$(3) \quad Y_m(X_1, \dots, X_m) = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} X_{m-i} Y_i(X_1, \dots, X_i) \quad \text{for } m = 1, \dots, tn-1,$$

where  $Y_m$  is defined as the following function of variables  $X_1, \dots, X_{m-1}$ :

$$Y_m = Y_m(X_1, \dots, X_m) = (-1)^m \frac{1}{m!} \begin{vmatrix} X_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ X_2 & X_1 & 2 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ X_{m-1} & X_{m-2} & X_{m-3} & \dots & m-1 \\ X_m & X_{m-1} & X_{m-2} & \dots & X_1 \end{vmatrix}$$

and  $Y_0 = 1$ .

The map  $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^{tn-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(X_1, \dots, X_{tn-1}) &= (1 - pY_n + p^2Y_{2n} - \dots + (-1)^{t-1}p^{t-1}Y_{(t-1)n}, \\ &\quad Y_1 - pY_{n+1} + p^2Y_{2n+1} - \dots + (-1)^{t-1}p^{t-1}Y_{(t-1)n+1}, \dots, \\ &\quad Y_{n-1} - pY_{2n-1} + p^2Y_{3n-1} - \dots + (-1)^{t-1}p^{t-1}Y_{tn-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The main property of the map  $\Phi$  relates any polynomial  $g(X)$  assigned to  $\epsilon$ , maps  $s_j$  and the polynomial  $f(\epsilon)$  as follows: If  $g(X) = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_dX^d$ , then the reciprocal polynomial  $g^{rec}(X) = a_d + \dots + a_1X^{d-1} + a_0X^d$  is a product of a nonzero constant  $a_0 = a(g(X))$  and a monic polynomial  $h(X)$ . Then  $s_j(g(X)) = S_j(g^{rec}(X)) = S_j(h(X))$  and

$$a(g(X))\Phi(s_1(g(X)), \dots, s_{tn-1}(g(X))) = b_K(\epsilon).$$

This property of the map  $\Phi$  allows us to work with different polynomials  $g(X)$  assigned to  $\epsilon$ .

#### 1.4. Congruence of Ankeny–Artin–Chowla type

From now on let  $K$  be a subfield of the real cyclotomic field  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$  and  $C(K)$  be the group of cyclotomic units of  $K$ . If  $\eta_K = N_{L/K}(\zeta_p^{\frac{(1-g)}{2}} \frac{1-\zeta_p^g}{1+\zeta_p^g})$ , then  $C(K) = \langle \eta_K \rangle$ .

By Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.3 of [S] the class number  $h_K$  of the field  $K$  equals  $[U_K : C(K)]$ . According to Theorem 1 of [M], there is  $\delta \in U_K$  such that  $[U_K : \langle \delta \rangle] = f$  with  $f$  coprime to  $p$ . Fix such a unit  $\delta$ , denote  $e = [\langle \delta \rangle : \langle \eta_K^f \rangle]$  and write

$$(4) \quad \eta_K^f = \delta^{c_0} \sigma(\delta)^{c_1} \dots \sigma^{n-2}(\delta)^{c_{n-2}}.$$

Then  $e = [U_K : C(K)]f^{n-2}$  and according to Lemma 1 of [M] the index

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \left| \prod_{r|n; r>1} N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(c_0 + c_1\zeta_r + \dots + c_{n-2}\zeta_r^{n-2}) \right| \\ &= \left| \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (c_0 + c_1\zeta_n^i + \dots + c_{n-2}\zeta_n^{(n-2)i}) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The Gauss periods  $\beta_0 = \beta_K, \beta_1 = \sigma(\beta_K), \dots, \beta_{n-1} = \sigma^{n-1}(\beta_K)$  form an integral basis of  $K$ . Therefore we can write  $\delta = x_0\beta_0 + \dots + x_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}$ .

Assume  $f(\zeta_p) = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_{p-2}X^{p-2}$  is given. Remark that in the case  $t = 2$  the polynomial  $f(\zeta_p)$  was computed in [J6]. Then  $f(\beta_K) = k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{ki}X^i$ ,  $f(\beta_j) = k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{ki}g_n^{ij}X^i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$  and

$$f(\delta) = k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{ki} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_j g_n^{ij} \right) X^i.$$

Moreover,  $a(f(\zeta_p)) = -\frac{1}{p-1}$  implies  $a(f(\delta)) = -\frac{1}{n}(x_0 + \dots + x_{n-1})$ .

There is a polynomial  $l(X)$  assigned to  $\delta^{c_0}\sigma(\delta)^{c_1} \dots \sigma^{n-2}(\delta)^{c_{n-2}}$  such that

$$s_j(l(X)) = c_0 s_j(f(\delta)) + c_1 g_n^j s_j(f(\delta)) + \dots + c_{n-2} g_n^{j(n-2)} s_j(f(\delta)) = \alpha_j s_j(f(\delta)),$$

where  $\alpha_j = c_0 + c_1 g_n^j + \dots + c_{n-2} g_n^{j(n-2)}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, tn-1$  and  $a(l(X)) = (-\frac{x_0+\dots+x_{n-1}}{n})^{c_0+\dots+c_{n-2}} = (-\frac{x_0+\dots+x_{n-1}}{n})^{\alpha_0}$ .

Observe that  $\alpha_i \equiv \alpha_{kn+i} \pmod{p^t}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$  and  $-\alpha_n \equiv g_n\alpha_1 + g_n^2\alpha_2 + \dots + g_n^{n-1}\alpha_{n-1} \pmod{p^t}$ .

Because  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ ,  $p$  splits completely in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$  for each divisor  $r > 1$  of  $n$ . Since  $g_r^r \equiv 1 \pmod{p^t}$ , there is a prime divisor  $\mathfrak{q}_r$  of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$  satisfying  $\zeta_r \equiv g_r = g_n^{\frac{n}{r}} \pmod{\mathfrak{q}_r^t}$ . Consequently

$$c_0 + c_1\zeta_r + \dots + c_{n-2}\zeta_r^{n-2} \equiv c_0 + c_1g_r + \dots + c_{n-2}g_r^{n-2} = \alpha_{\frac{n}{r}} \pmod{\mathfrak{q}_r^t}$$

and

$$c_0 + c_1\zeta_r^j + \dots + c_{n-2}\zeta_r^{j(n-2)} \equiv c_0 + c_1g_r^j + \dots + c_{n-2}g_r^{j(n-2)} = \alpha_{j\frac{n}{r}} \pmod{\mathfrak{q}_r^t}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, r-1$ . Hence

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(c_0 + c_1\zeta_r + \dots + c_{n-2}\zeta_r^{n-2}) = \prod_{s|n; s \geq 1; (s, n) = \frac{n}{r}} \alpha_s \pmod{p^t}$$

and

$$\prod_{r|n; r>1} N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(c_0 + c_1\zeta_r + \dots + c_{n-2}\zeta_r^{n-2}) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i \pmod{p^t}.$$

On the other hand, in the case  $t = 1$ , the values of  $s_j(\eta_K)$  were determined in Lemma 5 of [J2]. The case  $t = 2$  and  $n$  prime was considered in [J4], where the values of  $s_j(f(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}))$  were determined. If 2 is not a  $n$ -th power modulo  $p$  and  $n$  is prime, then the conjugates of the unit  $\eta_{K,2} = N_{L/K}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$  generate the group  $C(K)$  and we may replace  $\eta_K$  in the above arguments by  $\eta_{K,2}$ . The advantage of using  $\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}$  instead of  $\zeta_p^{\frac{(1-g)}{2}} \frac{1-\zeta_p}{1-\zeta_p}$  is that  $f_L(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$  is easily derived from  $f(\zeta_p)$ ; namely using Lemma 1.1 we obtain

$$f_L(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}) = 2a_0 + 2a_2X + \dots + 2a_{p-3}X^{\frac{p-3}{2}},$$

where the absolute term  $2a_0 = \frac{-2}{p-1} \equiv 2 + 2p + \dots + 2p^{t-1} \pmod{p^t}$ .

LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $K_1 \subset K_2$  be fields of degree  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , respectively,  $z = \frac{n_2}{n_1}$  and  $\epsilon \in U_{K_2}$ . Then*

$$b_{K_1}(N_{K_2/K_1}(\epsilon)) \equiv a(f_{K_2}(\epsilon))^z \Phi(s_z(f_{K_2}(\epsilon)), \dots, s_{(tn_1-1)z}(f_{K_2}(\epsilon))) \pmod{p^t}.$$

PROOF. It is enough to show that there is a polynomial  $g(X)$  assigned to  $N_{K_2/K_1}(\epsilon)$  treated as an element of  $K_1$  such that  $a(g(X)) = a(f_{K_2}(\epsilon))^z \pmod{p^t}$  and  $s_i(g(X)) = s_{iz}(f_{K_2}(\epsilon)) \pmod{p^t}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, tn_1 - 1$ .

If  $f(X) = f_{K_2}(\epsilon) = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_{n_2-1}X^{n_2-1}$ , then  $\sigma^{jn_1}(\epsilon)$  is assigned a polynomial  $f(g_{jz}X) = a_0 + a_1g_{n_2}^{jn_1}X + \dots + a_{n_2-1}g_{n_2}^{jn_1(n_2-1)}X^{n_2-1}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, z-1$ . Also,  $s_{iz}(f(g_zX)) = g_z^{iz}s_{iz}(f(X)) \equiv s_{iz}(f(X)) \pmod{p^t}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, tn_1 - 1$ . Put  $h(X) \equiv f(X)f(g_zX)\dots f(g_{(n_1-1)z}X) \pmod{X^{tn_2}}$ . Then  $h(X)$  is assigned to  $N_{K_2/K_1}(\epsilon)$  treated as an element of  $K_2$ ,  $a(h(X)) = a_0^z$  and  $s_{iz}(h(X)) = z s_{iz}(f(X))$  for  $i = 1, \dots, tn_1 - 1$ .

Using Lemma 1.1 one finds a polynomial  $g(X)$  modulo  $X^{tn_1}$  that is assigned to  $N_{K_2/K_1}(\epsilon)$  treated as an element of  $K_1$  such that  $h(X) = g(X^z)$ . Using Newton formulas we establish that  $s_{iz}(h(X)) = z s_{iz}(g(X))$  proving the claim of the lemma.  $\square$

We have proved the following statement.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let  $K$  be a cyclic subfield of the real cyclotomic field  $L$  of prime conductor  $p$ ,  $l$  be the degree of  $K$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $k = \frac{p-1}{l}$ ,  $\eta \in U_L$  be such that  $N_{L/K}(\eta)$  generates the group  $C(K)$  of cyclotomic units of  $K$ , and  $T_i = s_{i\frac{(p-1)}{2^l}}(f_L(\eta))$  for  $i = 1, \dots, tl - 1$ . Let  $\delta = x_0\beta_0 + x_1\beta_2 + \dots + x_{l-1}\beta_{l-1} \in U_K$  be such that  $\delta^{c_0}\sigma(\delta)^{c_1} \dots \sigma^{l-2}(\delta)^{c_{l-2}} = N_{L/K}(\eta)^f$  where  $f$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Denote  $f(\zeta_p) = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_{p-2}X^{p-2}$  and put  $\alpha_m = c_0 + c_1g_l^m + \dots + c_{l-2}g_l^{m(l-2)}$  for  $m = 1, \dots, l$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, tl - 1$  denote  $S_i = s_i(f_K(\delta))$ , where  $f_K(\delta) = k \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_{ki} (\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} x_j g_l^{ij}) X^i$ .*

*Then*

$$(5) \quad a(f_L(\eta))^{\frac{p-1}{2^l}} \Phi(fT_1, \dots, fT_{tl-1}) \equiv (-\frac{x_0+x_1+\dots+x_{l-1}}{l})^{\alpha_0}.$$

$$\Phi(\alpha_1 S_1, \dots, \alpha_l S_l, \alpha_1 S_{l+1}, \dots, \alpha_l S_{2l}, \alpha_1 S_{2l+1}, \dots, \alpha_{l-1} S_{tl-1}) \pmod{p^t}$$

and

$$(6) \quad \pm \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{l-1} = h_K f^{l-2} \pmod{p^t}.$$

In order to obtain a congruence of Ankeny-Artin-Chowla type from the above theorem, it is necessary to solve for  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}$  from (5) and apply the results to (6). In the case  $t = 2$  this was done in [JL]. The purpose of the remainder of the paper is to solve for  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}$  from (5) in the case  $t = 3$ .

We will prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Assume  $3l < p$  and  $P_1, \dots, P_{3l-1}; R_1, \dots, R_{3l-1}$  are  $p$ -integral rational numbers. If  $\Phi(P_1, \dots, P_{3l-1}) \equiv c\Phi(R_1, \dots, R_{3l-1}) \pmod{p^3}$  for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then*

$$\frac{P_m - R_m}{m} - p \frac{P_{l+m} - R_{l+m}}{l+m} + p^2 \frac{P_{2l+m} - R_{2l+m}}{2l+m} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}$$

for each  $m = 1, \dots, l-1$ .

Consequently, under the assumption of Theorem 1.1 we obtain

**THEOREM 1.3.** *If  $3l < p$ , then*

$$\alpha_m \left( \frac{S_m}{m} - p \frac{S_{l+m}}{l+m} + p^2 \frac{S_{2l+m}}{2l+m} \right) \equiv f \left( \frac{T_m}{m} - p \frac{T_{l+m}}{l+m} + p^2 \frac{T_{2l+m}}{2l+m} \right) \pmod{p^3}$$

for  $m = 1, \dots, l-1$  and

$$\pm \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{l-1} = h_K f^{l-2} \pmod{p^3}.$$

## 2. Analysis of the assumptions of Theorem 1.2

From now on, write  $\Phi(P)$  for  $\Phi(P_1, \dots, P_{3l-1})$ ,  $\Phi(R)$  for  $\Phi(R_1, \dots, R_{3l-1})$ ,  $Y_m(P)$  for  $Y_m(P_1, \dots, P_m)$  and  $Y_m(R)$  for  $Y_m(R_1, \dots, R_m)$  for each  $m = 1, \dots, 3l-1$ .

If  $\Phi(P) \equiv c\Phi(R) \pmod{p^3}$ , then

$$(7) \quad \frac{\Phi(P)}{1 - pY_l(P) + p^2Y_{2l}(P)} \equiv \frac{\Phi(R)}{1 - pY_l(R) + p^2Y_{2l}(R)} \pmod{p^3}.$$

From now on assume that  $3l < p$ ,  $P_1, \dots, P_{3l-1}; R_1, \dots, R_{3l-1}$  are  $p$ -integral rational numbers and the above congruence (7) is valid.

Using the congruence  $\frac{1}{1-pa+p^2b} \equiv 1 + pa + p^2(a^2 - b) \pmod{p^3}$  one can infer that (7) is equivalent to a system of congruences

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} & Y_m(P) + p(Y_l(P)Y_m(P) - Y_{l+m}(P)) \\ & + p^2(Y_l^2(P)Y_m(P) - Y_{2l}(P)Y_m(P) - Y_l(P)Y_{l+m}(P) + Y_{2l+m}(P)) \\ & \equiv Y_m(R) + p(Y_l(R)Y_m(R) - Y_{l+m}(R)) \\ & + p^2(Y_l^2(R)Y_m(R) - Y_{2l}(R)Y_m(R) - Y_l(R)Y_{l+m}(R) + Y_{2l+m}(R)) \pmod{p^3} \end{aligned}$$

for  $m = 1, \dots, l-1$ .

To analyze these congruences, we will strive to obtain formulas that will relate  $Y_{2l+m}(P) - Y_{2l+m}(R)$  modulo  $p$ ,  $Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R)$  modulo  $p^2$  and  $Y_m(P) - Y_m(R)$  modulo  $p^3$  to expressions involving  $P_k - R_k$  and values of  $Y_i$  with smaller indices  $i$ . This will enable us to use an induction later.

First observe that by considering the "truncated" map  $\Phi_2$  defined by

$$\Phi_2(X_1, \dots, X_{2l-1}) = \Phi(X_1, \dots, X_{2l-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$$

we can repeat the proof of Lemma 2 of [JL]. In particular,

**PROPOSITION 2.1 ([JL]).**

$$(9) \quad P_m \equiv R_m \pmod{p}, \quad Y_m(P) \equiv Y_m(R) \pmod{p}$$

$$(10) \quad \frac{P_m - R_m}{m} - p \frac{P_{l+m} - R_{l+m}}{l+m} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$$

for  $m = 1, \dots, l-1$  and

$$(11) \quad Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R) \equiv - \sum_{i=0}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \pmod{p}$$

for  $m = 0, \dots, l-1$ .

### 3. $Y_{2l+m}(P) - Y_{2l+m}(R)$ modulo $p$

**LEMMA 3.1.**

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_{2l+m}(P) - Y_{2l+m}(R) \\ & \equiv - \sum_{i=0}^{l+m} Y_{l+m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

for  $m = 0, \dots, l-1$ .

PROOF. By induction on  $m$ . For  $m = 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{2l}(P) - Y_{2l}(R) &= -\frac{1}{2l} \sum_{i=0}^{2l-1} P_{2l-i} Y_i(P) - R_{2l-i} Y_i(R) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2l} \sum_{i=0}^{2l-1} P_{2l-i} (Y_i(P) - Y_i(R)) - \frac{1}{2l} \sum_{i=0}^{2l-1} Y_i(R) (P_{2l-i} - R_{2l-i}) \\
 &\equiv -\frac{1}{2l} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} P_{l-i} (Y_{l+i}(P) - Y_{l+i}(R)) - \frac{1}{2l} \sum_{i=0}^l Y_i(R) (P_{2l-i} - R_{2l-i}) \\
 &\equiv \frac{1}{2l} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} P_{l-i} \sum_{j=0}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} - \frac{1}{2l} \sum_{i=0}^l Y_i(R) (P_{2l-i} - R_{2l-i}) \pmod{p}
 \end{aligned}$$

using (3), (9) and (11). Put  $t = i - j$  and change the summation in the double sum to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} P_{l-i} \sum_{j=0}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \sum_{t=0}^{l-j-1} Y_t(R) P_{l-j-t} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \sum_{t=0}^{l-j-1} Y_t(R) R_{l-j-t} + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \sum_{t=0}^{l-j-1} Y_t(R) (P_{l-j-t} - R_{l-j-t}) \\
 &= - \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} (l-j) Y_{l-j}(R) + \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} (P_l - R_l) \pmod{p}
 \end{aligned}$$

using (3) and (9).

Rewrite

$$\sum_{i=0}^l Y_i(R) (P_{2l-i} - R_{2l-i}) = \sum_{j=0}^l Y_{l-j}(R) (P_{l+j} - R_{l+j})$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{2l}(P) - Y_{2l}(R) &\equiv -\frac{1}{2l} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} (l-j) Y_{l-j}(R) - \frac{1}{2l} \sum_{j=0}^l Y_{l-j}(R) (P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \\
 &= - \sum_{j=0}^l Y_{l-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \pmod{p},
 \end{aligned}$$

hence the statement is valid for  $m = 0$ .

For the inductive step, write

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{2l+m}(P) - Y_{2l+m}(R) &= -\frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{2l+m-1} P_{2l+m-i} Y_i(P) - R_{2l+m-i} Y_i(R) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{2l+m-1} P_{2l+m-i} (Y_i(P) - Y_i(R)) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{2l+m-1} Y_i(R) (P_{2l+m-i} - R_{2l+m-i}) \\
 &\equiv -\frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} P_{l+m-i} (Y_{l+i}(P) - Y_{l+i}(R)) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} P_{m-i} (Y_{2l+i}(P) - Y_{2l+i}(R)) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{l+m} Y_i(R) (P_{2l+m-i} - R_{2l+m-i}) \pmod{p}
 \end{aligned}$$

using (3) and (9). Further, using (11) and the inductive assumption we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{2l+m}(P) - Y_{2l+m}(R) &\equiv \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} P_{l+m-i} \sum_{j=0}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} P_{m-i} \sum_{j=0}^{l+i} Y_{l+i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} P_{m-i} \sum_{k=0}^i Y_{i-k}(R) \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+k-j} - R_{l+k-j}}{l+k-j} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{l+m} Y_i(R) (P_{2l+m-i} - R_{2l+m-i}) \pmod{p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combine the two terms

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} P_{l+m-i} \sum_{j=0}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} P_{m-i} \sum_{j=0}^{l+i} Y_{l+i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j}$$

into

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{l+m-1} P_{l+m-i} \sum_{j=0}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{l+m-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \sum_{t=0}^{l+m-j-1} Y_t(R) P_{l+m-j-t} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{l+m-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \sum_{t=0}^{l+m-j-1} Y_t(R) R_{l+m-j-t} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{l+m-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \sum_{t=0}^{l+m-j-1} Y_t(R) (P_{l+m-j-t} - R_{l+m-j-t}) \\
&= - \sum_{j=0}^{l+m-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} (l+m-j) Y_{l+m-j}(R) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \sum_{t=0}^{m-j} Y_t(R) (P_{l+m-j-t} - R_{l+m-j-t})
\end{aligned}$$

by changing the summation using  $t = i - j$ , and using (3) and (9).

Rewrite

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l+m} Y_i(R) (P_{2l+m-i} - R_{2l+m-i}) = \sum_{j=0}^{l+m} Y_{l+m-j}(R) (P_{l+j} - R_{l+j})$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_{2l+m}(P) - Y_{2l+m}(R) \\
& \equiv - \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{j=0}^{l+m-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} (l+m-j) Y_{l+m-j}(R) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{j=0}^{l+m} Y_{l+m-j}(R) (P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} P_{m-i} \sum_{k=0}^i Y_{i-k}(R) \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+k-j} - R_{l+k-j}}{l+k-j} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \sum_{t=0}^{m-j} Y_t(R) (P_{l+m-j-t} - R_{l+m-j-t}) \pmod{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

The first two terms combine to

$$- \sum_{j=0}^{l+m} Y_{l+m-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j}.$$

Change the order of summations in the remaining two terms and set  $t = i - k$  and  $k = m - t$ , respectively, to rewrite these terms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{t=0}^{m-k-1} P_{m-k-t} Y_t(R) \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+k-j} - R_{l+k-j}}{l+k-j} \\
& + \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{k=0}^m Y_{m-k}(R) \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} (P_{l+k-j} - R_{l+k-j}) \\
& \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (m-k) Y_{m-k}(R) \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+k-j} - R_{l+k-j}}{l+k-j} \\
& + \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{k=0}^m Y_{m-k}(R) \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} (P_{l+k-j} - R_{l+k-j}) \pmod{p}
\end{aligned}$$

using (3) and (9).

The coefficients at  $Y_{m-k}(R)(P_{l+j} - R_{l+j})(P_{l+k-j} - R_{l+k-j})$  in the previous expression and in the expression

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m Y_{m-k}(R) \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+k-j} - R_{l+k-j}}{l+k-j}$$

are the same. Namely, if  $j \neq \frac{k}{2}$ , then the coefficient at

$$Y_{m-k}(R)(P_{l+j} - R_{l+j})(P_{l+k-j} - R_{l+k-j})$$

in the former expression equals

$$\frac{1}{2l+m} \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{2(m-k)}{(l+k-j)(l+j)} + \frac{1}{l+j} + \frac{1}{l+k-j} \right) = \frac{1}{(l+k-j)(l+j)};$$

if  $j = \frac{k}{2}$ , then it equals

$$\frac{1}{2l+m} \left( \frac{m-2j}{2(l+j)^2} + \frac{1}{l+j} \right) = \frac{1}{2(l+j)^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (m-k) Y_{m-k}(R) \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+k-j} - R_{l+k-j}}{l+k-j} \\
& + \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{k=0}^m Y_{m-k}(R) \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} (P_{l+k-j} - R_{l+k-j}) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m Y_{m-k}(R) \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+k-j} - R_{l+k-j}}{l+k-j},
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

It is possible to start with a different starting setup, namely, instead of

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{2l+m}(P) - Y_{2l+m}(R) &= -\frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{2l+m-1} P_{2l+m-i}(Y_i(P) - Y_i(R)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{2l+m-1} Y_i(R)(P_{2l+m-i} - R_{2l+m-i}) \end{aligned}$$

one can write

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{2l+m}(P) - Y_{2l+m}(R) &= -\frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{2l+m-1} R_{2l+m-i}(Y_i(P) - Y_i(R)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{2l+m-1} Y_i(P)(P_{2l+m-i} - R_{2l+m-i}). \end{aligned}$$

We will apply this approach in the next sections.

#### 4. $Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R)$ modulo $p^2$

LEMMA 4.1.

$$Y_m(P) - Y_m(R) \equiv -p \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \pmod{p^2}$$

for  $m = 1, \dots, l-1$ .

PROOF. Consider (8) modulo  $p^2$  and use (9) and (11) to write

$$\begin{aligned} Y_m(P) - Y_m(R) &\equiv p(Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R)) - pY_m(R)(Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) \\ &\equiv -p \sum_{i=0}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} + pY_m(R) \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \\ &= -p \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 4.2.

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R) &\equiv - \sum_{i=0}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} - p \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} Y_{l+m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\ &\quad + p \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \pmod{p^2} \end{aligned}$$

for each  $m = 0, \dots, l-1$ .

PROOF. By induction on  $m$ . For the basic step  $m = 0$ , first use (3), Lemma 4.1 and (10) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} Y_l(P) - Y_l(R) &= -\frac{P_l - R_l}{l} - \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} R_{l-i}(Y_i(P) - Y_i(R)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} Y_i(P)(P_{l-i} - R_{l-i}) \\ &\equiv -\frac{P_l - R_l}{l} + p \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} R_{l-i} \sum_{j=1}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\ &\quad - p \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} Y_i(P)(l-i) \frac{P_{2l-i} - R_{2l-i}}{2l-i} \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Changing the order of summation, using (9), putting  $j = l-i$ , and rearranging, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_l(P) - Y_l(R) &\equiv -\frac{P_l - R_l}{l} + p \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \sum_{i=j}^{l-1} R_{l-i} Y_{i-j}(R) \\ &\quad - p \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} j Y_{l-j}(R) \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=j}^{l-1} R_{l-i} Y_{i-j}(R) = \sum_{k=0}^{l-j-1} R_{l-j-k} Y_k(R) = -(l-j) Y_{l-j}(R)$$

by (3), we conclude that

$$Y_l(P) - Y_l(R) \equiv -\frac{P_l - R_l}{l} - p \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} Y_{l-j}(R) \pmod{p^2}.$$

For the inductive step, assume that the congruence is valid for all nonnegative integers smaller than  $m$  and consider

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R) &= -\frac{P_{l+m} - R_{l+m}}{l+m} - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^{l+m-1} R_{l+m-i}(Y_i(P) - Y_i(R)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^{l+m-1} Y_i(P)(P_{l+m-i} - R_{l+m-i}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{P_{l+m} - R_{l+m}}{l+m} - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} R_{l+m-i}(Y_i(P) - Y_i(R)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=l}^{l+m-1} R_{l+m-i}(Y_i(P) - Y_i(R)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^{l+m-1} Y_i(R)(P_{l+m-i} - R_{l+m-i}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^{l+m-1} (Y_i(P) - Y_i(R))(P_{l+m-i} - R_{l+m-i}).
\end{aligned}$$

This expression is congruent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \frac{P_{l+m} - R_{l+m}}{l+m} + p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} R_{l+m-i} \sum_{j=1}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
&+ \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} R_{m-i} \sum_{j=0}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
&+ p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=l}^{l+m-1} R_{l+m-i} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
&- p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} R_{m-i} \sum_{t=1}^i Y_{i-t}(R) \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j} \\
&- \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=m+1}^{l+m-1} Y_i(R)(P_{l+m-i} - R_{l+m-i}) \\
&- \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^m Y_i(R)(P_{l+m-i} - R_{l+m-i}) \\
&- \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^m (Y_i(P) - Y_i(R))(P_{l+m-i} - R_{l+m-i}) \\
&- \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (Y_{l+i}(P) - Y_{l+i}(R))(P_{m-i} - R_{m-i}) \pmod{p^2}
\end{aligned}$$

by (3), Lemma 4.1, the inductive assumption and (9).

Next, we will group like terms and simplify.

Change the order of summation, substitute  $j = m - i$  and apply (3) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{P_{l+m} - R_{l+m}}{l+m} + \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} R_{m-i} \sum_{j=0}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
& - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^m Y_i(R) (P_{l+m-i} - R_{l+m-i}) \\
\equiv & - \frac{P_{l+m} - R_{l+m}}{l+m} + \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \sum_{i=j}^{m-1} R_{m-i} Y_{i-j}(R) \\
& - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} Y_{m-j}(R) (P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}) \\
\equiv & - \frac{P_{l+m} - R_{l+m}}{l+m} - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} (m-j) Y_{m-j}(R) \\
& - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}) Y_{m-j}(R) \\
= & - \sum_{j=0}^m Y_{m-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \pmod{p^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Change the order of summation twice, apply (10) and (3) to infer

$$\begin{aligned}
& p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} R_{l+m-i} \sum_{j=1}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
& + p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=l}^{l+m-1} R_{l+m-i} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
& - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=m+1}^{l+m-1} Y_i(R) (P_{l+m-i} - R_{l+m-i}) \\
\equiv & p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \sum_{i=j}^{l-1} R_{l+m-i} Y_{i-j}(R) \\
& + p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \sum_{i=l}^{l+m-1} R_{l+m-i} Y_{i-j}(R) \\
& - p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} Y_{m+i}(R) (l-i) \frac{P_{2l-i} - R_{2l-i}}{2l-i} \pmod{p^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The right hand side equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \sum_{i=j}^{l+m-1} R_{l+m-i} Y_{i-j}(R) \\
& - p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} j Y_{l+m-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
& = - p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (l+m-j) Y_{l+m-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
& - p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} j Y_{l+m-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
& = - p \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} Y_{l+m-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Put  $s = m - i$ , change the summation and use (3) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& - p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} R_{m-i} \sum_{t=1}^i Y_{i-t}(R) \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j} \\
& = - p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{t=1}^{m-1} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{m-t} Y_{m-s-t}(R) R_s \right) \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j} \\
& = p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{t=1}^{m-1} (m-t) Y_{m-t}(R) \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Use Lemma 4.1, put  $t = m - i + j$  and change the summation to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^m (Y_i(P) - Y_i(R)) (P_{l+m-i} - R_{l+m-i}) \\
& \equiv p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} (P_{l+m-i} - R_{l+m-i}) \\
& \equiv p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{t=1}^m Y_{m-t}(R) \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} (P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}) \pmod{p^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Use (10), (11), put  $t = m - i + j$  and change the summation to infer

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (Y_{l+i}(P) - Y_{l+i}(R))(P_{m-i} - R_{m-i}) \\
 & \equiv p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^i (m-i) Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+m-i} - R_{l+m-i}}{l+m-i} \\
 & \equiv p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{t=1}^m Y_{m-t}(R) \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (t-j) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j} \\
 & \equiv p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{t=1}^m Y_{m-t}(R) \sum_{j=1}^t j \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j} \pmod{p^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} R_{m-i} \sum_{t=1}^i Y_{i-t}(R) \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j} \\
 & - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=1}^m (Y_i(P) - Y_i(R))(P_{l+m-i} - R_{l+m-i}) \\
 & - \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (Y_{l+i}(P) - Y_{l+i}(R))(P_{m-i} - R_{m-i}) \\
 & \equiv p \frac{1}{l+m} \sum_{t=1}^m Y_{m-t}(R) \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j} ((m-t) + (l+t-j) + j) \\
 & = p \sum_{t=1}^m Y_{m-t}(R) \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j} \pmod{p^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Put all terms together to finish the proof.  $\square$

## 5. $Y_m(P) - Y_m(R)$ modulo $p^3$

LEMMA 5.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_m(P) - Y_m(R) & \equiv -p \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} + p^2 \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{2l+i} - R_{2l+i}}{2l+i} \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} p^2 \sum_{i=2}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \pmod{p^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

for each  $m = 1, \dots, l-1$ .

PROOF. According to (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_m(P) - Y_m(R) \\ & \equiv -p(Y_l(P)Y_m(P) - Y_l(R)Y_m(R)) + p(Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R)) \\ & \quad - p^2(Y_l^2(P)Y_m(P) - Y_l^2(R)Y_m(R)) + p^2(Y_{2l}(P)Y_m(P) - Y_{2l}(R)Y_m(R)) \\ & \quad + p^2(Y_l(P)Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_l(R)Y_{l+m}(R)) - p^2(Y_{2l+m}(P) - Y_{2l+m}(R)) \pmod{p^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (9) we rearrange

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_m(P) - Y_m(R) \\ & \equiv -pY_m(P)(Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) - pY_l(R)(Y_m(P) - Y_m(R)) \\ & \quad + p(Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R)) - p^2Y_l^2(P)(Y_m(P) - Y_m(R)) \\ & \quad - p^2Y_l(P)Y_m(R)(Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) - p^2Y_l(R)Y_m(R)(Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) \\ & \quad + p^2Y_m(P)(Y_{2l}(P) - Y_{2l}(R)) + p^2Y_{2l}(R)(Y_m(P) - Y_m(R)) \\ & \quad + p^2Y_{l+m}(P)(Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) + p^2Y_l(R)(Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R)) \\ & \quad - p^2(Y_{2l+m}(P) - Y_{2l+m}(R)) \\ & \equiv -pY_m(R)(Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) - p(Y_m(P) - Y_m(R))(Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) \\ & \quad - pY_l(R)(Y_m(P) - Y_m(R)) + p(Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R)) \\ & \quad - 2p^2Y_l(R)Y_m(R)(Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) - p^2Y_m(R)(Y_l(P) - Y_l(R))^2 \\ & \quad + p^2Y_m(R)(Y_{2l}(P) - Y_{2l}(R)) + p^2Y_{l+m}(R)(Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) \\ & \quad + p^2(Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R))(Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) \\ & \quad + p^2Y_l(R)(Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R)) - p^2(Y_{2l+m}(P) - Y_{2l+m}(R)) \pmod{p^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we expand the summands of the last expression:

$$\begin{aligned} -pY_m(R)(Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) & \equiv pY_m(R) \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \\ & \quad + p^2Y_m(R) \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} Y_{l-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \pmod{p^3} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.2;

$$-p(Y_m(P) - Y_m(R))(Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) \equiv -p^2 \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \pmod{p^3}$$

by Lemma 4.1 and (11);

$$-pY_l(R)(Y_m(P) - Y_m(R)) \equiv p^2Y_l(R) \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \pmod{p^3}$$

by Lemma 4.1;

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p(Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R)) \\
 & \equiv -p \sum_{i=0}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} - p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} Y_{l+m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\
 & \quad + p^2 \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \pmod{p^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.2;

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -2p^2 Y_l(R) Y_m(R) (Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) - p^2 Y_m(R) (Y_l(P) - Y_l(R))^2 \\
 & \equiv 2p^2 Y_l(R) Y_m(R) \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} - p^2 Y_m(R) \left( \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \right)^2 \pmod{p^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

by (11);

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p^2 Y_m(R) (Y_{2l}(P) - Y_{2l}(R)) \\
 & \equiv -p^2 Y_m(R) \sum_{i=0}^l Y_{l-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} + \frac{1}{2} p^2 Y_m(R) \left( \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \right)^2 \pmod{p^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.1;

$$p^2 Y_{l+m}(R) (Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) \equiv -p^2 Y_{l+m}(R) \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \pmod{p^3}$$

by (11);

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p^2 (Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R)) (Y_l(P) - Y_l(R)) \\
 & \equiv p^2 \sum_{i=0}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \pmod{p^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

by (11);

$$p^2 Y_l(R) (Y_{l+m}(P) - Y_{l+m}(R)) \equiv -p^2 Y_l(R) \sum_{i=0}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \pmod{p^3}$$

by (11);

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -p^2 (Y_{2l+m}(P) - Y_{2l+m}(R)) \\
 & \equiv p^2 \sum_{i=0}^{l+m} Y_{l+m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\
 & \quad - \frac{1}{2} p^2 \sum_{i=0}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \pmod{p^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.1.

Further, we collect like terms and simplify

$$pY_m(R)\frac{P_l - R_l}{l} - p \sum_{i=0}^m Y_{m-i}(R)\frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} = -p \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R)\frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i};$$

$$\begin{aligned} p^2 Y_m(R) \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} Y_{l-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} - p^2 Y_m(R) \sum_{i=0}^l Y_{l-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\ = -p^2 Y_m(R) Y_l(R) \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} - p^2 Y_m(R) \frac{P_{2l} - R_{2l}}{2l}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p^2 Y_l(R) \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} + 2p^2 Y_l(R) Y_m(R) \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \\ - p^2 Y_l(R) \sum_{i=0}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\ = p^2 Y_l(R) Y_m(R) \frac{P_l - R_l}{l}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} Y_{l+m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} - p^2 Y_{l+m}(R) \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} + p^2 \sum_{i=0}^{l+m} Y_{l+m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\ = p^2 Y_{l+m}(R) \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} - p^2 Y_{l+m}(R) \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} + p^2 \sum_{i=l}^{l+m} Y_{l+m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\ \equiv p^2 \sum_{i=0}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{2l+i} - R_{2l+i}}{2l+i} \pmod{p^3} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & -p^2 \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \\ & + p^2 \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \\ & - p^2 Y_m(R) \left( \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} p^2 Y_m(R) \left( \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \right)^2 \\ & + p^2 \sum_{i=0}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} p^2 \sum_{i=0}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= p^2 \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} p^2 \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \\
&= p^2 \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \\
&\quad + p^2 \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} p^2 \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \\
&\quad - p^2 \sum_{i=1}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \frac{P_l - R_l}{l} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} p^2 \sum_{i=2}^m Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting all terms together concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.2

PROOF. We proceed by induction on  $m$ . Lemma 5.1 applied to  $m = 1$  states that

$$Y_1(P) - Y_1(R) = -(P_1 - R_1) \equiv -p \frac{P_{l+1} - R_{l+1}}{l+1} + p^2 \frac{P_{2l+1} - R_{2l+1}}{2l+1} \pmod{p^3}$$

which proves the statement of the theorem for  $m = 1$ .

Assume that the theorem is valid for every positive integer smaller than  $m$  and consider  $Y_m(P) - Y_m(R) \pmod{p^3}$ . Using (3), the inductive assumption and Lemma 5.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_m(P) - Y_m(R) &= -\frac{P_m - R_m}{m} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} Y_i(P)(P_{m-i} - R_{m-i}) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} R_{m-i}(Y_i(P) - Y_i(R)) \\
&= -\frac{P_m - R_m}{m} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} Y_{m-i}(P)(P_i - R_i) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} R_{m-i}(Y_i(P) - Y_i(R))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\equiv -\frac{P_m - R_m}{m} - \frac{1}{m} p \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i Y_{m-i}(P) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} + \frac{1}{m} p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i Y_{m-i}(P) \frac{P_{2l+i} - R_{2l+i}}{2l+i} \\
&+ \frac{1}{m} p \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} R_{m-i} \sum_{j=1}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
&- \frac{1}{m} p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} R_{m-i} \sum_{j=1}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{2l+j} - R_{2l+j}}{2l+j} \\
&- \frac{1}{2m} p^2 \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} R_{m-i} \sum_{t=2}^i Y_{i-t}(R) \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j} \pmod{p^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Switch the summation in the last three terms and use (3) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{m} p \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} R_{m-i} \sum_{j=1}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
&- \frac{1}{m} p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} R_{m-i} \sum_{j=1}^i Y_{i-j}(R) \frac{P_{2l+j} - R_{2l+j}}{2l+j} \\
&- \frac{1}{2m} p^2 \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} R_{m-i} \sum_{t=2}^i Y_{i-t}(R) \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j} \\
&\equiv -\frac{1}{m} p \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (m-i) Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} + \frac{1}{m} p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (m-i) Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{2l+i} - R_{2l+i}}{2l+i} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2m} p^2 \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} (m-i) Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \pmod{p^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further, use Lemma 4.1 to infer

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{m} p \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i Y_{m-i}(P) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\
&= -\frac{1}{m} p \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\
&- \frac{1}{m} p \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i (Y_{m-i}(P) - Y_{m-i}(R)) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\
&\equiv -\frac{1}{m} p \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\
&+ \frac{1}{m} p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i \sum_{j=1}^{m-i} Y_{m-i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \pmod{p^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore using (9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Y_m(P) - Y_m(R) \\
 & \equiv -\frac{P_m - R_m}{m} - p \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} + p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} Y_{m-i}(R) \frac{P_{2l+i} - R_{2l+i}}{2l+i} \\
 & + \frac{1}{m} p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i \sum_{j=1}^{m-i} Y_{m-i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\
 & + \frac{1}{2m} p^2 \sum_{i=2}^m (m-i) Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \pmod{p^3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 5.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Y_m(P) - Y_m(R) \\
 & \equiv Y_m(P) - Y_m(R) - \frac{P_m - R_m}{m} + p \frac{P_{l+m} - R_{l+m}}{l+m} - p^2 \frac{P_{2l+m} - R_{2l+m}}{2l+m} \\
 & + \frac{1}{m} p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i \sum_{j=1}^{m-i} Y_{m-i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\
 & - \frac{1}{2m} p^2 \sum_{i=2}^m i Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j} \pmod{p^3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The theorem will be proved if we show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i \sum_{j=1}^{m-i} Y_{m-i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\
 & = \sum_{i=2}^m i Y_{m-i}(R) \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i-j} - R_{l+i-j}}{l+i-j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

To verify this, change the summation in the first sum and put  $t = i + j$  to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i \sum_{j=1}^{m-i} Y_{m-i-j}(R) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+i} - R_{l+i}}{l+i} \\
 & = \sum_{t=2}^m Y_{m-t}(R) \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} (t-j) \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j} \\
 & + \sum_{t=2}^m Y_{m-t}(R) \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} j \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \\
 & = \sum_{t=2}^m t Y_{m-t}(R) \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \frac{P_{l+j} - R_{l+j}}{l+j} \frac{P_{l+t-j} - R_{l+t-j}}{l+t-j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Acknowledgement.** I would like to thank the referee for valuable suggestions that helped me to improve the first section of this paper.

## References

- [J1] Jakubec S., *The congruence for Gauss period*, Journal of Number Theory **48** (1994), 36–45.
- [J2] Jakubec S., *On the Vandiver's conjecture*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **64** (1994), 105–124.
- [J3] Jakubec S., *Congruence of Ankeny–Artin–Chowla type for cyclic fields of prime degree  $l$* , Math. Proc. Cambridge. Philos. Soc. **119** (1996), 17–22.
- [J4] Jakubec S., *Congruence of Ankeny–Artin–Chowla type modulo  $p^2$  for cyclic fields of prime degree  $l$* , Acta Arithmetica **74** (1996), 293–310.
- [J5] Jakubec S., *Congruence of Ankeny–Artin–Chowla type for cyclic fields*, Math. Slovaca **48** (1998), 323–326.
- [J6] Jakubec S., *Note on the congruence of Ankeny–Artin–Chowla type modulo  $p^2$* , Acta Arithmetica **85** (1998), 377–388.
- [JL] Jakubec S., Laššák M., *Congruence of Ankeny–Artin–Chowla type modulo  $p^2$* , Annales Mathematicae Silesianae **12** (1998), 75–92.
- [M] Marko F., *On the existence of  $p$ -units and Minkowski units in totally real cyclic fields*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **66** (1996), 89–111.
- [S] Sinnott W., *On the Stickelberger ideal and the circular units of an abelian field*, Invent. Math. **62** (1980), 181–234.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY  
76 UNIVERSITY DRIVE  
HAZLETON, PA 18202  
USA  
e-mail: fxm13@psu.edu