ON A FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

Andrzej Smajdor

Abstract. The functional equation

$$\varphi(x+y)+\psi(x)+\psi(y)=\psi(x+y)+\varphi(x)+\varphi(y)$$

is studied for set-valued functions.

All topological spaces are assumed to satisfy Hausdorff separation axiom. Throughout the paper the symbols **Q** and **N** denotes the sets of all rational numbers and positive integers, respectively.

Let X be a linear space over the field $\mathbb Q$ and S be a $\mathbb Q$ -convex cone with zero in X, i.e. if $x \in S$ and $\lambda \in [0,\infty) \cap \mathbb Q$, then $\lambda x \in S$ and if $x,y \in S$, then $x+y \in S$.

Recall that $0 \in \text{core } U$, where $U \subset X$, if for every $x \in X$ there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\lambda x \in U$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$.

Let $U \subset X$ be a Q-convex set such that $0 \in \text{core } U$. By c(Y) we denote the family of all non-empty compact subsets of a real topological vector space Y and by cc(Y) we denote the family of all convex members of c(Y).

Consider a set-valued function (abbreviated to s.v. function in the sequel) $F:U\cap S\to \operatorname{c}(Y)$ such that

(1)
$$F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = \frac{F(x) + F(y)}{2}$$

for all $x, y \in U \cap S$. These functions will be called *Jensen* ones. It is known that values F(x) of F belong to the family cc(Y) (see [2, Remark 3.1]).

Received March 7, 1994 and, in final form, September 6, 1994. AMS (1991) subject classification: Primary 39B52.

Let " \sim " denote the Rådström's equivalence relation between pairs of members of cc(Y) defined by the formula

$$(A, B) \sim (C, D) \Leftrightarrow A + D = B + C.$$

For any pair (A, B), [A, B] denotes its equivalence class. All equivalence classes form a real linear space \tilde{Y} with addition defined by the rule

$$[A, B] + [C, D] = [A + C, B + D],$$

and scalar multiplication

$$\lambda[A, B] = [\lambda A, \lambda B]$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$ and

$$\lambda[A,B] = [-\lambda B, -\lambda A]$$

for $\lambda < 0$, (cf. [3]).

Now, let $F: U \cap S \to c(Y)$ be a solution of Jensen equation (1) and let

(2)
$$f_0(x) = [F(x), F(0)]$$

for $x \in U \cap S$. Then

$$f_0\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \left[F\left(\frac{x+0}{2}\right), F(0)\right] = \left[\frac{F(x) + F(0)}{2}, F(0)\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}[F(x) + F(0), 2F(0)] = \frac{1}{2}[F(x), F(0)] = \frac{1}{2}f_0(x)$$

for $x \in U \cap S$. Hence, one has

$$f_0(x+y) = 2f_0\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = 2\left[F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right), F(0)\right] = 2\left[\frac{F(x)+F(y)}{2}, F(0)\right]$$
$$= [F(x)+F(y), F(0)+F(0)]$$
$$= [F(x), F(0)] + [F(y), F(0)] = f_0(x) + f_0(y)$$

for all $x, y \in U \cap S$ such that $x + y \in U \cap S$. Similarly as in [1] the function f_0 can be extended to an additive function f on the whole S. The function $f: S \to \tilde{Y}$ has to have a representation

(3)
$$f(x) = [\varphi(x), \psi(x)]$$

where $\varphi: S \to \mathrm{cc}(Y), \psi: S \to \mathrm{cc}(Y)$. The additivity of f yields

(4)
$$\varphi(x+y) + \psi(x) + \psi(y) = \psi(x+y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$$

for all $x, y \in S$. The main goal of the note is to study equation (4).

We will need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1 ([3, Lemma 1]). Assume that A, B, C are subsets of Y such that $A + C \subset B + C$. If B is closed and convex and C is bounded and non-empty, then $A \subset B$.

LEMMA 2. There exists a base $E \subset S$ of the linear subspace S - S of X over \mathbb{Q} .

PROOF. The linear subspace S - S of X over \mathbb{Q} has a base

$$\{x_i-y_i: i\in I\},$$

where $x_i, y_i \in S, i \in I$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\{x_i:\ i\in I\}\cup\{y_i:\ i\in I\})=S-S.$$

There exists a minimal set

$$E \subset \{x_i: i \in I\} \cup \{y_i: i \in I\}$$

for which

$$\operatorname{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}E = S - S.$$

LEMMA 3. Let $D \subset X$ be a **Q**-convex set containing the origin. If $F, G, H: D \to cc(Y)$ are s.v. functions such that

$$F(x+y) = G(x) + H(y)$$

for all $x, y \in D$ for which $x + y \in D$, then F, G and H are Jensen s.v. functions on D.

The proof of the above lemma runs like that of Lemma 4 in [4] (there X is a real linear space and D is convex in X).

Suppose that functions $\varphi: S \to \mathrm{cc}(Y)$ and $\psi: S \to \mathrm{cc}(Y)$ fulfil equation (4). Putting x = y = 0 in (4) we obtain

$$\varphi(0) + \psi(0) + \psi(0) = \psi(0) + \varphi(0) + \varphi(0).$$

Lemma 1 allows us to get

$$\varphi(0) = \psi(0).$$

Using Lemma 1, we get by induction the equality

(5)
$$\varphi(x_1 + \ldots + x_n) + \psi(x_1) + \ldots + \psi(x_n) = \psi(x_1 + \ldots + x_n) + \varphi(x_1) + \ldots + \varphi(x_n)$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S$. Setting $x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_n = x$ for $x \in S$ we have

(6)
$$\varphi(nx) + n\psi(x) = \psi(nx) + n\varphi(x)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Replacing x in (6) by $\frac{x}{n}$ we obtain

$$\varphi(x) + n\psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \psi(x) + n\varphi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right),$$

whence

(7)
$$\frac{1}{n}\varphi(x) + \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\psi(x) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right).$$

Similarly, setting $mx, m \in \mathbb{N}$, instead of x in (7), we get

$$\frac{1}{n}\varphi(mx) + \psi\left(\frac{m}{n}x\right) = \frac{1}{n}\psi(mx) + \varphi\left(\frac{m}{n}x\right).$$

In view of (6) this implies that

$$\varphi\left(\frac{m}{n}x\right) + \frac{1}{n}\psi(mx) + \frac{m}{n}\psi(x)$$

$$= \psi\left(\frac{m}{n}x\right) + \frac{1}{n}\varphi(mx) + \frac{m}{n}\psi(x) = \psi\left(\frac{m}{n}x\right) + \frac{1}{n}[\varphi(mx) + m\psi(x)]$$

$$= \psi\left(\frac{m}{n}x\right) + \frac{1}{n}[\psi(mx) + m\varphi(x)] = \psi\left(\frac{m}{n}x\right) + \frac{1}{n}\psi(mx) + \frac{m}{n}\varphi(x);$$

hence by Lemma 1

(8)
$$\varphi\left(\frac{m}{n}x\right) + \frac{m}{n}\psi(x) = \psi\left(\frac{m}{n}x\right) + \frac{m}{n}\varphi(x).$$

On account of Lemma 2, there exists a base $E \subset S$ of subspace S - S over \mathbb{Q} . Write

$$S_0 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i : e_i \in E, \ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, \infty) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., n, \ n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and define

$$\tilde{\varphi}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \varphi(e_i), \ \tilde{\psi}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \psi(e_i)$$

whenever

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i e_i \in S_0.$$

It is clear that $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}: S_0 \to \mathrm{cc}(Y)$ are additive. Each $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$ can be represented in the form

$$(9) x = y - z$$

where

(10)
$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i e_i \in S_0, \quad z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i e_i \in S_0$$

with $\lambda_i, \mu_i \geq 0$ and $\lambda_i \cdot \mu_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. This representation is unique. In view of (5) and (10) we have

$$\varphi(y) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(\lambda_{i}e_{i}) = \psi(y) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi(\lambda_{i}e_{i}),$$

whence

$$\varphi(y) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(\lambda_{i}e_{i}) + \tilde{\psi}(y) = \psi(y) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\varphi(\lambda_{i}e_{i}) + \lambda_{i}\psi(e_{i})]$$
$$= \psi(y) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(\lambda_{i}e_{i}) + \tilde{\varphi}(y)$$

by (8). Now, Lemma 1 yields

(11)
$$\psi(y) + \tilde{\varphi}(y) = \varphi(y) + \tilde{\psi}(y).$$

Similar consideration leads to

(12)
$$\varphi(z) + \tilde{\psi}(z) = \psi(z) + \tilde{\varphi}(z).$$

Conditions (4) and (9) imply the equality

(13)
$$\varphi(y) + \psi(x) + \psi(z) = \psi(y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(z).$$

Now adding (11), (12) and (13) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \psi(y) + \tilde{\varphi}(y) + \varphi(z) + \tilde{\psi}(z) + \varphi(y) + \psi(x) + \psi(z) \\ = & \varphi(y) + \tilde{\psi}(y) + \psi(z) + \tilde{\varphi}(z) + \psi(y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(z) \end{split}$$

whence, again by Lemma 1, the equality

(14)
$$\psi(x) + \tilde{\varphi}(y) + \tilde{\psi}(z) = \varphi(x) + \tilde{\psi}(y) + \tilde{\varphi}(z)$$

holds.

Conversely, suppose that $S_0 \subset S$ is a subcone (over \mathbb{Q}) of S, φ , $\psi: S \to \mathrm{cc}(Y), S \subset S_0 - S_0$ and $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}: S_0 \to \mathrm{cc}(Y)$ are additive such that (14) is fulfilled. Taking $x = y - z \in C$, $\bar{x} = \bar{y} - \bar{z} \in C$ for $y, z, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in S_0$, by (14) we get

$$\begin{split} \varphi(x+\bar{x}) + \tilde{\psi}(y+\bar{y}) + \tilde{\varphi}(z+\bar{z}) = & \psi(x+\bar{x}) + \tilde{\varphi}(y+\bar{y}) + \tilde{\psi}(z+\bar{z}), \\ \psi(x) + \tilde{\varphi}(y) + \tilde{\psi}(z) = & \varphi(x) + \tilde{\psi}(y) + \tilde{\varphi}(z), \\ \psi(\bar{x}) + \tilde{\varphi}(\bar{y}) + \tilde{\psi}(\bar{z}) = & \varphi(\bar{x}) + \tilde{\psi}(\bar{y}) + \tilde{\varphi}(\bar{z}). \end{split}$$

Adding up those equalities and using Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\varphi(x+\bar{x})+\psi(x)+\psi(\bar{x})=\psi(x+\bar{x})+\varphi(x)+\varphi(\bar{x}).$$

Above considerations allow us to establish the following result.

THEOREM 1. Let S be a Q-convex cone containing the origin in a linear space X over Q and let Y be a real topological vector space Y. S.v. functions $\varphi, \psi: S \to \operatorname{cc}(Y)$ fulfil equation (4) if and only if there exists a subcone (over Q) S_0 of S and additive s.v.functions $\tilde{\varphi}: S_0 \to \operatorname{cc}(Y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}: C_0 \to \operatorname{cc}(Y)$ such that $S \subset S_0 - S_0$ and (14) holds whenever $x = y - z \in S$, $y, z \in S_0$.

Theorem 1 can be used to prove the following one.

THEOREM 2. A s.v. function $F:S\cap U\to c(Y)$ fulfils the Jensen functional equation if and only if all values of F are convex and there exist a subcone S_0 of S and additive s.v. functions $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}: S_0 \to cc(Y)$ such that $S \subset S_0 - S_0$ and

(15)
$$F(x) + \tilde{\varphi}(z) + \tilde{\psi}(y) = F(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(y) + \tilde{\psi}(z)$$

whenever $y, z \in S_0$ and $x = y - z \in S \cap U$.

PROOF. Suppose that $F: S \cap U \to c(Y)$ fulfils (1). F must be convex-valued. Relations (2) and (3) yield

(16)
$$F(x) + \psi(x) = F(0) + \varphi(x)$$

for $x \in S \cap U$, where $\varphi, \psi : S \to \operatorname{cc}(Y)$ fulfils (4). Accoding to Theorem 1 there exists a subcone S_0 of S with $S \subset S_0 - S_0$ and additive s.v. mappings $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi} : S_0 \to \operatorname{cc}(Y)$ for which (14) holds. By (16) and (14) and Lemma 1 we have

$$F(x) + \tilde{\varphi}(z) + \tilde{\psi}(y) = F(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(y) + \tilde{\psi}(z),$$

which means that (15) holds.

Conversely, suppose that $F: S \cap U \to \operatorname{cc}(Y)$. There exists a subcone S_0 of S and additive s.v. mappings $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}: S_0 \to \operatorname{cc}(Y)$ for which (15) holds for $y, z \in S_0$ whenever $x = y - z \in S \cap U$. Consequently

$$\begin{split} F(x) + \tilde{\varphi}(z) + \tilde{\psi}(y) &= F(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(y) + \tilde{\psi}(z), \\ F(\bar{x}) + \tilde{\varphi}(\bar{z}) + \tilde{\psi}(\bar{y}) &= F(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(\bar{y}) + \tilde{\psi}(\bar{z}), \end{split}$$

and

$$2F(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(y+\bar{y}) + \tilde{\psi}(z+\bar{z}) = 2F\left(\frac{x+\bar{x}}{2}\right) + \tilde{\varphi}(z+\bar{z}) + \tilde{\psi}(y+\bar{y})$$

whenever $z, \bar{z}, y, \bar{y} \in S_0$, x = y - z, $\bar{x} = \bar{y} - \bar{z}$. The last equality has been obtained setting in (15)

$$\frac{x+\bar{x}}{2}$$
, $\frac{y+\bar{y}}{2}$, $\frac{z+\bar{z}}{2}$

instead of x, y, z, respectively. Now, it suffices to add the last three equalities and apply Lemma 1. Obtained in this way the expression

$$F(x) + F(\bar{x}) = 2F\left(\frac{x + \bar{x}}{2}\right)$$

for $x, \bar{x} \in S$ completes the proof.

COROLLARY ([2, Theorem 5.6]). A s.v. function $F: S \to c(Y)$ fulfils the Jensen functional equation if and only if there exists an additive set-valued function $A: S \to cc(Y)$ such that

$$F(x) = F(0) + A(x) -$$

for $x \in S$.

PROOF. Assume that $F: S \to c(Y)$ is a Jensen s.v. function. Let $S_0, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}$ be such as in Theorem 2 for U = X. Then (15) holds whenever $y, z \in S_0$ and $x = y - z \in S$. Let n be an arbitrary positive integer. Replacing y by ny, z by nz and x = y - z by nx = ny - nz in (15) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n}F(nx) + \tilde{\varphi}(z) + \tilde{\psi}(y) = \frac{1}{n}F(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(y) + \tilde{\psi}(z).$$

This implies that there exists

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} F(nx),$$

where the limit is in the Hausdorff metric sense. The s.v. function A is additive and convex compact valued. Moreover,

$$\tilde{\varphi}(y) + \tilde{\psi}(z) = A(x) + \tilde{\varphi}(z) + \tilde{\psi}(y).$$

Adding up this equality and (15) and using Lemma 1 we obtain

$$F(x) = F(0) + A(x).$$

The converse implication is obvious.

Now we proceed to prove a characterization of a s.v. solution of the Pexider equation.

THEOREM 3. S.v. functions $F,G,H:S\cap U\to \mathrm{cc}(Y)$ fulfil the Pexider functional equation

(17)
$$F(x+y) = G(x) + H(y)$$

if and only if

(18)
$$F(0) = G(0) + H(0)$$

and there exists a subcone S_0 of S with $S \subset S_0 - S_0$ and additive s.v. functions $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}: S_0 \to \operatorname{cc}(Y)$ such that

(19)
$$F(x) + \tilde{\varphi}(z) + \tilde{\psi}(y) = F(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(y) + \tilde{\psi}(z),$$

(20)
$$G(x) + \tilde{\varphi}(z) + \tilde{\psi}(y) = G(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(y) + \tilde{\psi}(z),$$

(21)
$$II(x) + \tilde{\varphi}(z) + \tilde{\psi}(y) = II(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(y) + \tilde{\psi}(z),$$

whenever $z, y \in S_0$ and $x = y - z \in S \cap U$.

PROOF. Suppose that $F, G, H: S \cap U \to \operatorname{cc}(Y)$ are solutions of (17). By Lemma 3 these functions have to fulfil equation (1). Now, Theorem 2 states that there exist a subcone S_0 of S and additive s.v. functions $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}: S_0 \to \operatorname{cc}(Y)$ such that (19) holds. Condition (18) is obvious. To prove (20) put y=0 in (17). The equality

$$F(x) = G(x) + H(0),$$

conditions (18) and (19) imply that

$$G(x) + H(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(z) + \tilde{\psi}(y) = G(0) + H(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(y) + \tilde{\psi}(z).$$

Now (20) for $y, z \in S_0$ follows from Lemma 1. Equality (21) can be obtained in the same way.

Conversely, assume that $F, G, H: S \cap U \to \operatorname{cc}(Y)$ fulfil (18) and additive s.v. functions $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}: S_0 \to \operatorname{cc}(Y)$ fulfil (19), (20) and (21), where S_0 is a subcone of S. Then we have

$$\begin{split} F(x+\bar{x}) + \tilde{\varphi}(z+\bar{z}) + \tilde{\psi}(y+\bar{y}) = & F(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(y+\bar{y}) + \tilde{\psi}(z+\bar{z}), \\ G(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(y) + \tilde{\psi}(z) = & G(x) + \tilde{\varphi}(z) + \tilde{\psi}(y), \\ H(0) + \tilde{\varphi}(\bar{y}) + \tilde{\psi}(\bar{z}) = & H(\bar{x}) + \tilde{\varphi}(\bar{z}) + \tilde{\psi}(\bar{y}), \end{split}$$

whenever $y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z} \in S_0$ and $x = y - z \in S \cap U$, $\bar{x} = \bar{y} - \bar{z} \in S \cap U$ with $x + \bar{x} \in U$. To get

$$F(x + \bar{x}) = G(x) + H(\bar{x})$$

for $x, \bar{x} \in S \cap U$ with $x + \bar{x} \in U$, it is enough to add up the last three equalities and to apply Lemma 1.

K. Nikodem's theorem ([2, Theorem 5.7]) on the form of solutions of (17) can be derived from Theorem 3 (only on a Q-convex cone) by similar considerations as in the proof of the Corollary.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Dhombres, R. Ger, Conditional Cauchy equation, Glasnik Mat. Ser. III 13 (1978), 39-62.
- [2] K. Nikodem, K-Convex and K-Concave set-valued functions, Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Łódzkiej 559, 1989.
- [3] H. Rådström, An embedding theorem for space of convex sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 165-169.
- [4] W. Smajdor, On Jensen and Pexider functional equations, Opuscula Math. 14 (1994), 169-178.

Institute of Mathematics Pedagogical University Podchorążych 2 Pl-30-084 Kraków, Poland